

The westward drift of the lithosphere: A rotational drag?

B. Scoppola

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy

D. Boccaletti

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy

M. Bevis

Department Civil Environmental Engineering and Geodetic Science, Ohio State University, 2070 Neil Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1275, USA

E. Carminati

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

C. Doglioni[†]

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

ABSTRACT

Net westward rotation of the lithosphere relative to the underlying mantle is a controversial phenomenon first attributed to tidal effects, and later to the dynamics of mantle convection. In spite of a number of independent geological and geophysical arguments for westward tectonic drift, this phenomenon has received little recent attention. We suggest that this differential rotation is a combined effect of three processes: (1) tidal torques act on the lithosphere generating a westerly directed torque decelerating Earth's spin; (2) the downwelling of the denser material toward the bottom of the mantle and in the core slightly decreases the moment of inertia and speeds up Earth's rotation, only partly counterbalancing the tidal drag; (3) thin (3–30 km) layers of very low viscosity hydrate channels occur in the asthenosphere. It is suggested that shear heating and the mechanical fatigue self-perpetuate one or more channels of this kind, which provide the necessary decoupling zone of the lithosphere.

Keywords: Earth's rotation, westward drift, lithosphere, asthenosphere viscosity, decoupling.

INTRODUCTION

Since the westward drift of the American blocks described by Wegener (1915), there have been a number of papers proposing a global or

net westward drift of the lithosphere relative to the mantle (Rittmann, 1942; Le Pichon, 1968; Bostrom, 1971). This net rotation is indicated by independent kinematic observations, such as plate motion within the hotspot reference frame (Ricard et al., 1991; O'Connell et al., 1991; Gordon, 1995; Gripp and Gordon, 2002), plate motion relative to Antarctica (Le Pichon, 1968; Knopoff and Leeds, 1972), and geological asymmetries (Doglioni, 1993).

Tidal or Earth rotation effects were invoked to explain this westward drift (Bostrom, 1971; Knopoff and Leeds, 1972; Moore, 1973). Jordan (1974) and Jeffreys (1975) attacked the theoretical basis of these tidal drag mechanisms, and the model was abandoned. The notion that Earth's rotation influences plate tectonics has been generally discounted due to the requirement of the conservation of the angular momentum of the Earth-Moon system, which is considered as an isolated system. However, there is a body of evidence suggesting an astronomical tuning of plate tectonics, such as the distribution of plate velocity and seismicity, which tend to decrease toward Earth's poles (DeMets et al., 1990; Heflin et al., 2004). Transform faults are longer in the equatorial zones, and mantle thermal minima are also concentrated around the equator, suggesting a migration of cooler and heavier material at low latitudes due to centrifugal mass redistribution (Bonatti, 1996). The pole-fleeing force is an example of the rotational component acting on plates, particularly on an oblate planet (Eotvos, 1913; Caputo, 1986a; Gasperini, 1993). Polar wander is also influenced by initiation of subduction zones or internal mass redistributions in the mantle (e.g., Spada et al., 1992).

As Jordan (1974) noted, the idea of tidal drag as the driving mechanism for plate tectonics is particularly intriguing (e.g., Bostrom, 1971; Moore, 1973) because it is energetically feasible. In fact, the dissipation of energy by tidal friction is slightly larger (1.6×10^{19} J/yr) than the energy released by tectonic activity (1.3×10^{19} J/yr; e.g., Denis et al., 2002).

However, Jordan (1974), and later Ranalli (2000), discarded Earth's rotation as the cause of the westward drift, claiming that the viscosity necessary to allow decoupling between lithosphere and mantle should be $\sim 10^{11}$ Pa s in the intervening asthenosphere. This value is too low when compared with the present-day estimates of the asthenosphere viscosity, ranging between 10^{17} and 10^{20} Pa s (Anderson, 1989; Pollitz et al., 1998; Fjeldskaar, 1994; Giunchi et al., 1997; Piersanti, 1999). Therefore, the viscosity of the asthenosphere is crucial for understanding the nature of the westward drift of the lithosphere.

In this paper, we attempt to revitalize the idea of an astronomical origin of the westward drift, including new ingredients to the Jordan (1974) model, such as the nonlinear rheology of the mantle, the mechanical fatigue, and the irreversible downwelling of the heavier rocks in the mantle. Moreover, we hypothesize the presence of an ultralow-viscosity channel within the asthenosphere (Fig. 1).

WESTWARD DRIFT

There are a number of open basic questions regarding the westward drift, including: What is its real speed? What is generating it? Does it affect the entire lithosphere or is it rather only a mean value, with most of the lithosphere moving

[†]Corresponding author e-mail: carlo.doglioni@uniroma1.it.




Figure 1. Cartoon showing how Earth, viewed from the southern pole, is undergoing two opposite torques. The tidal torque is opposing Earth's rotation, whereas convection is speeding up the spinning due to the accumulation of heavier material toward the inner parts of the planet, growing the inner core and making the lower mantle denser. Very low viscosity, hydrated layers are inferred in the nonlinear rheology asthenosphere where the tides perform mechanical fatigue. The mixing of these different issues could allow decoupling between the lithosphere and underlying upper mantle, where more vigorous convection takes place.

“west”, but part of it still moving “east” in the opposite direction relative to the mantle? Ricard et al. (1991) proposed that the westward drift is only a mean value due to the lower asthenospheric viscosity at the base of the Pacific plate, but geological and geophysical signatures of subduction and rift zones rather show a global signature, supporting a global relative “eastward” motion of the mantle relative to the lithosphere (Fig. 1). Using the hotspot reference frame, Gripp and Gordon (2002) computed an average “westward” speed of the lithosphere relative to the asthenosphere of up to ~ 49 mm/yr.

It is crucial to detect whether hotspots are fixed relative to each other (Molnar and Stock,

1987; Steinberger, 2002) in order to have a reliable hotspot reference frame and to compute the westward drift of the lithosphere. Norton (2000) grouped hotspots into three main families that have very little internal relative motion (Pacific, Indo-Atlantic, and Iceland). In his analysis, Pacific hotspots have remained nearly fixed relative to each other during the last 80 m.y. We do not yet have a reliable constraint on the source depth of the hotspots (deep mantle or asthenosphere), but, whatever this depth, hotspots indicate relative motion between lithosphere and the underlying mantle. If hotspots have their source in the asthenosphere (Bonatti, 1990; Doglioni et al., 2005), and we disregard those hotspots

located along plate margins, which do not constitute a reliable reference frame because they are moving relative to each other, then the net rotation of the lithosphere rises to ~ 90 mm/yr.

The only assumption made is that the Pacific hotspot tracks are fixed relative to each other (Norton, 2000; Gripp and Gordon, 2002) and that they parallel the motion of the underlying mantle relative to the lithosphere. The most obvious place for decoupling to occur is the asthenosphere, where the lowest mantle viscosity values are believed to occur.

Kennedy et al. (2002) have shown how mantle xenoliths record a shear possibly achieved at the lithosphere-asthenosphere interface. This

supports the notion of a flow in the upper mantle and some decoupling at the base of the lithosphere (Russo and Silver, 1996; Doglioni et al., 1999; Bokelmann and Silver, 2000). A significant radial anisotropy, with horizontally polarized shear waves traveling faster than those that are vertically polarized, is present under continental cratons at 250–400 km depths and under oceanic plates at shallower (80–250 km) depth (Gung et al., 2003). This anisotropy has been related to horizontal shear in the low-viscosity asthenospheric channel, which is thinner below the continents than beneath the oceans (Gung et al., 2003).

This is in agreement with a shear in the asthenosphere distributed worldwide. A global shear wave-splitting analysis in the asthenosphere (Debayle et al., 2005) shows directions consistent with a mantle shear along the undulating pattern of flow suggested by surficial plate motions (Doglioni, 1993). Deviations from this flow occur particularly along subduction zones, where the flow is inferred to encroach the slabs.

Horizontal plate speeds range between 1 and 150 mm/yr, whereas vertical motion (uplift or subsidence) of the lithosphere typically has rates between 0.01 and 1 mm/yr. Therefore, on average, horizontal velocities are 10–100 times larger, suggesting the greater importance of tangential forces acting on the lithosphere, i.e., the toroidal field. The westward drift can be interpreted as a toroidal field of degree one (Ricard et al., 1991). Bokelmann (2002) suggested that in order to explain the toroidal component, plates and mantle cannot be fully coupled. He also proposed that the mantle is the dominant force in moving North America, based on the dip and orientation of P-wave fast azimuths axes. Holtzman et al. (2003), modeling a decoupling zone, showed that in simple shear experiments on several mantle-like melt-rock systems at high temperature and pressure, melt segregates into distinct melt-rich layers oriented 20° to the shear plane. As an application, in real peridotites, melt-rich bands dipping toward the sense of motion can develop in the mantle near a shear zone. According to the dip of the fast azimuths axes described by Bokelmann (2002), this would indicate that mantle beneath western North America can move eastward relative to the lithosphere, as also suggested by Silver and Holt (2002). Similar eastward asthenospheric flow has been interpreted by Negrodo et al. (2004) for the Caribbean plate. Upper-mantle anisotropy parallel to plate motion suggests eastward asthenospheric flow even beneath the East Pacific Rise (Wolfe and Solomon, 1998).

Horizontal shearing across the asthenospheric decoupling zone implies that a force is acting on the lithosphere that opposes the direction of mantle flow. This force can be exerted either

by the lithosphere itself (e.g., slab pull or ridge push), or by an external force, such as tidal drag. Slab pull is frequently invoked (e.g., Anderson, 2001; Conrad and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2002) and is notoriously considered one order of magnitude larger than ridge push. Estimates for the magnitude of the slab pull are based on a number of assumptions, such as a homogeneous upper-mantle geochemistry, and a thermally and phase change-driven negative buoyancy.

However, the mineralogical constraints of the upper mantle are quite weak, and focal mechanisms indicate that slabs undergo downdip compression beneath depths of 350 km, if not shallower in many subduction zones (Isacks and Molnar, 1971; Frepoli et al., 1996; Doglioni et al., 1999).

Assuming an active pull from only that part of a slab between depths of 50 km and 350 km, and considering for example the Marianas slab, the following concerns can be envisaged. The negative buoyancy of an ~300-km-long slab should be able to pull the 10,000-km-long Pacific plate, overcoming the friction at its base without breaking the lithosphere, even though it has very low strength under extension (Turcotte and Schubert, 1982). It should also contemporaneously determine the slab retreat. Based on these counterarguments, we are exploring here an astronomical alternative.

Plates move along a sort of mainstream, which is not everywhere oriented E-W, but rather smoothly deviates by up to 60–70° in azimuth, depending on longitude, so as to depict an approximately sinusoidal pattern of flow (Doglioni et al., 1999). Along this flow regime, roughly west-directed subduction zones are steeper than east- or northeast-directed zones (Nelson and Temple, 1972; Dickinson, 1978; Uyeda and Kanamori, 1979; Doglioni, 1993; Marotta and Mongelli, 1998), and the associated orogens are respectively characterized by lower structural and topographic elevation and backarc basin existence, and in the other side by higher structural and morphological elevation and no backarc basin (Doglioni et al., 1999). The asymmetry is striking when comparing western and eastern Pacific subduction zones, and it has usually been interpreted as relating to the age of the downgoing oceanic lithosphere, i.e., older, cooler, and denser material in the western side subducts more steeply.

However, these differences persist elsewhere, regardless of the age and composition of the downgoing lithosphere. In fact, along the west-directed Apennines, Carpathians, Banda, Barbados, and Sandwich subduction zones, where even continental or zero-age oceanic lithosphere subducts, the slab is very steep, and the related accretionary prism is small. In the opposite east-

or northeast-directed subduction zones, such as the Dinarides-Hellenides, Taurides, Zagros, Himalayas, Indonesia, New Guinea, and New Zealand belts, the slab is less inclined and shallower, and the orogen has much larger volumes of involved rocks. Since the asymmetry seems more related to the geographic polarity along the aforementioned sinusoidal flow, rather than to the age and composition of the subducting lithosphere, it appears more connected to an astronomical origin.

Along west-directed subduction zones (e.g., Marianas) the décollements at the base of the accretionary prism are rather shallow, in the upper crust, and therefore they have small orogenic volumes accreted. Along the opposite east- or northeast-directed subduction-related orogens, such as the Andes or the Himalayas, the basal décollements affect the entire crust and upper mantle, and the resulting belt has much larger volumes of rocks involved (Doglioni et al., 1999). This could explain why the topography of the east-directed subduction-related orogens is higher than the opposite subduction zones. In fact, when using pairs of subduction zones where same-age oceanic lithosphere is subducting, the upper plate of west-directed subduction zones regularly shows lower elevation with respect to the opposite subductions (Fig. 2).

Rift zones are also asymmetric, with the eastern side being more elevated by ~100–300 m worldwide. A test of these asymmetries is also in Figure 2, where the mean topographic and bathymetric elevations are reported for the western and eastern flanks of rift zones. Along rift zones, the asymmetry can be interpreted as related to the depletion of the mantle due to melting along the rift. The residual lighter asthenosphere shifting eastward or northeastward might determine a mass deficit in the eastern flank of the ridge, producing a shallower bathymetry (Doglioni et al., 2003).

ASTHENOSPHERE VISCOSITY

Apart from experimental studies, the presently known lowest viscosity of the asthenosphere occurs beneath the Pacific plate (5×10^{17} Pa s; Pollitz et al., 1998), detected with modeling of earthquake remote triggering. It is an average viscosity (Fig. 3), and therefore we might expect both horizontal and vertical variations of this value. The Pacific asthenosphere is also possibly the most undepleted mantle and so prone to melt. It is noteworthy that the Pacific is the fastest plate in the hotspot reference frame. Therefore, there appears to be a relationship between asthenosphere viscosity and plate velocity.

The rheology of the mantle is not entirely understood. The viscosity quantifies the




Figure 2. (Left) Elevation profiles along pairs of subduction zones with the same age as the oceanic crust at the trench: 15, 25 Ma (Central America, sections 1 and 3, and Sandwich arc, 2 and 4), 35 Ma (South America and Ryukyu, sections 5 and 6), 45 Ma (South America and east Aleutians, sections 7 and 8), and 90 Ma (Sumatra and Barbados, sections 9 and 10). Numbers of the sections refer to their location in the lower right panel. Note that the west-directed subduction zones with dashed lines have lower upper-plate elevation, regardless the age of the subducting lithosphere. (Right) Average bathymetry of the sections crossing oceanic rift zones shown in the middle panel. The western side of rift zones, indicated by the dashed line, is also less elevated than the opposite flank. Both subduction and rift zones provide evidence for a geographic polarity in global tectonics. The two maps show the locations of the profiles used for the average bathymetry of rift zones (above) and the subduction zones of the left column (below).

resistance of a fluid to flow, and it is the ratio between the shear stress and the strain rate. Some materials have a viscosity that depends on the time scale of an applied shear stress. The time scale of tidal drag can be considered as infinite. Studies of the mantle's mechanical properties during the last decades have repeatedly pointed out the nonlinear rheology of the mantle (e.g., Caputo, 1986b; Kornig and Muller, 1989; Ranalli, 1995), which usually implies viscosity in the asthenosphere decreases as the shear stress rises. Viscosity of the asthenosphere computed on the time scale of postglacial rebound (10 k.y.) can be significantly different from the value related to long-lasting processes (10 m.y.). Different models predict different signs on viscosity's time scale (or strain rate) dependence. But there are several classes of models in which viscosity decreases with increasing time scale.

Asthenosphere Viscosity from Numerical Modeling

Inferences on the asthenosphere viscosity η come from numerical models and from laboratory experiments. Several modeling techniques have been used. Viscosities between 7×10^{19} and 5×10^{20} Pa s were inferred from postglacial rebound models (e.g., Fjeldskaar, 1994; Kaufmann and Lambeck, 2000). A viscosity of $0.3\text{--}2 \times 10^{19}$ Pa s was proposed using models of postdrifting stress relaxation at divergent plate boundaries (Foulger et al., 1992). Simulations of postseismic deformation in the Japan region provided viscosity values around 0.93×10^{19} Pa s (Suito and Hirahara, 1999). Modeling of the oceanic geoid suggests that the viscosity of the asthenosphere is between 3 and 4 orders of magnitude smaller than the viscosity of the lower mantle (Kido et al., 1998). The viscosity of the lower mantle is constrained to $\sim 10^{21}$ Pa s (Vermeersen et al., 1998). As a consequence, asthenospheric viscosities around $10^{17}\text{--}10^{18}$ Pa s are constrained from geoid modeling. Similarly low (5×10^{17} Pa s) viscosities were obtained for the asthenosphere below the Pacific plate using earthquake remote triggering techniques (Pollitz et al., 1998). In summary, asthenospheric viscosities from numerical modeling range reasonably between 10^{17} and 10^{19} Pa s. It is here emphasized that such viscosity values are conjectured mean values, averaged over the entire thickness of the asthenosphere. This is mainly due to the limited vertical resolution of such studies.

Asthenosphere Viscosity from Laboratory Experiments

Laboratory experiments suggest that the viscosity profile of the asthenosphere could be far

less homogeneous than envisaged from numerical modeling studies (Fig. 3). Both water and melt content of asthenospheric rocks can significantly influence their viscosity (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 1996; Mei et al., 2002). In deformation experiments on partially molten olivine aggregates, Hirth and Kohlstedt (1995a, 1995b) found that the viscosity of the upper mantle can be reduced by more than one order of magnitude if the retained melt fraction is greater than 0.05. These results were confirmed by experiments on partially molten lherzolite samples (Zimmerman and Kohlstedt, 2004). Using the results of such experiments, several synthetic viscosity profiles were calculated for the mantle. For example, Hirth and Kohlstedt (1996) calculated a variable viscosity profile (with mean viscosity values as low as 10^{18} Pa s) for a melt-free oceanic lithosphere. Mei et al. (2002) calculated, for the asthenosphere of a mantle wedge resting above a subducting plate, a very rough viscosity profile. The viscosity of the mantle wedge can vary by ~ 3 orders of magnitude (between $<10^{16}$ Pa s and $>10^{18}$ Pa s over a depth span of 60 km, due to the combined effects of water and melt weakening; Fig. 3).

The distribution of both water and melt could be particularly uneven in the asthenosphere. Larger than expected water content in the upper mantle has recently been envisaged

(van der Meijde et al., 2003). In particular, the melt content is likely to be controlling deformation. Holtzman et al. (2003) conducted laboratory experiments of large strain deformation and demonstrated that melt can segregate into melt-rich regions. These melt-rich channels can contain 10 times (or more) the melt with respect to adjacent rocks. As a consequence, melt-rich channels are expected to be significantly weaker than the surrounding material. This process can concentrate deformation into these channels, which are likely to become shear zones. Such shear zones are commonly observed in exhumed mantle rocks of ophiolite complexes. For example, tabular dunite bodies, considered to be the product of fast basaltic melt migration into mantle rocks, are juxtaposed to shear zones in the Josephine peridotites (Kelemen and Dick, 1995). The occurrence of large melt fractions in exposed mantle shear zones has been also proved in the Oman ophiolites (Dijkstra et al., 2002). The same authors, combining such field observations and the experimental results of Hirth and Kohlstedt (1995a, 1995b), suggest that the effective viscosities in mantle peridotite shear zones could range between 10^{15} and 10^{16} Pa s.

A further control on asthenosphere viscosity can be played by lattice preferred orientation of olivine crystals in the mantle. Seismic anisotropy (e.g., Gung et al., 2003) is controlled by

deformation-induced lattice preferred orientations of olivine, which is the most abundant and the weakest mineral of the upper mantle. The direction of polarization of the fast S wave is parallel to the [100] axis of olivine (e.g., Mainprice and Silver, 1993). The same occurs with the direction of fast propagation of both Rayleigh and P waves. Experiments on single crystal olivines indicate that this mineral displays a strong mechanical anisotropy. Slip on (010)[100] planes occurs with strain rates one order of magnitude larger than on (010)[001] planes (Bai et al., 1991). In other words, slip parallel to the [100] mineral axis is much easier than slip parallel to the other axes. Numerical modeling suggests that [100] axes of mantle olivine are generally horizontal and parallel to the mantle-flow direction (Tommasi et al., 1999). This result is supported by olivine lattice preferred orientation measured in natural peridotites (Tommasi and Vauchez, 2001). The same conclusions are reached by seismic anisotropy studies. It can be concluded that in the same rock, the viscosity opposing horizontal shear parallel to the flow direction (e.g., due to horizontal plate motion) will approximately be one order of magnitude lower than the viscosity opposing shear in the vertical direction (e.g., induced by glacial loading; Fig. 4).




Figure 3. Viscosity profiles of lithosphere and asthenospheric mantle. The solid line profile is after Mei et al. (2002), whereas the dashed profile is after Hirth and Kohlstedt (1996). These two curves were calculated using flow laws and information on water content and melt fraction obtained from laboratory experiments. The dotted profile refers to the work of Pollitz et al. (1998), and is the product of numerical modeling of earthquake remote triggering. Notice the significant variation of viscosity (η) values in the asthenospheric mantle.




Figure 4. The effective viscosity in a granular layer with intervening melt in the pores is much smaller when measured for a shear parallel to the bedding (e.g., induced by horizontal plate motion) with respect to a vertical load (e.g., induced by ice formation or melting).

These experimental findings clearly suggest that the asthenospheric viscosities obtained from numerical modeling are to be considered average values. A strong vertical variability, with water- and melt-rich layers characterized by much lower viscosities (down to 10^{15} Pa s), is on the contrary more realistic. The combination of the effects of all the weakening parameters (water content, melt content, shear localization, and mechanical anisotropy) still has to be investigated. It cannot be excluded that such experiments could decrease the accepted values of asthenosphere viscosity.

A final remark is to be dedicated to the space and time scales of laboratory experiments. Deformations and strain rates investigated are respectively dramatically smaller and larger compared to mantle processes. Although laboratory results are extrapolated to mantle scale, it cannot be excluded that natural mantle processes can be significantly different at higher degrees of deformation and much slower deformation rates.

Ultralow-Viscosity Layers in the Asthenosphere?

In the asthenosphere, the peridotite with minor carbon + hydrogen (Iherzolite-[C + H₂O] system) at a temperature of ~ 1430 °C is partially molten (e.g., Schubert et al., 2001; Green and Falloon, 1998; Green et al., 2001). Therefore, inside the asthenosphere, there may be one or more layers, with a combined vertical thickness of 5–30 km, with very high degrees of partial melting and consequently a viscosity 1–4 orders of magnitude lower than normal (Fig. 3). These layers, having lower viscosity, could serve as a decoupling zone between the lithosphere and the underlying mantle.

The theory of the channel flow (Cathles, 1975; Turcotte and Schubert, 1982) shows how in the computation of the postglacial rebound, a thin (e.g., a few tens of km) low-viscosity layer in the asthenosphere remains unsolved or invisible due to the much deeper effect of a 1000-km-wide ice-cap loading.

Consider a circular ice sheet 3000 km wide (radius $R = 1500$ km) that is subsiding at $v = 1$ cm/yr (on average), and all this isostatic motion is accommodated by radial channel flow in an asthenospheric channel only $d = 20$ km thick. Then the volume of material flowing through a circumferential boundary (lying beneath the edge of the ice sheet) per unit time is Av . The area A of this base is πR^2 , while the area of the boundary is $2\pi R d$. So the average velocity of outflow at the boundary is

$$Av/(2\pi R d) = (R/2d)v. \quad (1)$$

So the average velocity v is scaled up by the ratio $R/2d$, which has a numerical value of 37.5. Since the flow rate has to be zero at the bottom and top of the channel, the peak velocity of the channel has to be even higher than this, and so vertical velocity gradient = strain rate in the channel would be very high, of order $v(R/d^2)$.

Narrow (in the vertical sense) asthenospheric channels cannot contribute significantly to isostatic subsidence or uplift induced by widely distributed loading or unloading of the lithosphere. It would be much easier to deform the entire upper mantle, even if it has a much higher viscosity.

Theoretical studies of postglacial rebound have recently considered the observable consequences of low-viscosity zones within continental crust (Di Donato et al., 2000; van de Wal et al., 2004). For example, Di Donato et al. (2000) invoked a low-viscosity zone, 15 km thick, in the depth range 25–40 km. This type of low-viscosity zone is quite different from that which we invoke: its thickness is comparable to its depth, and it is limited to continental crust. We need to invoke a layer that can localize shearing of the lithosphere everywhere (i.e., in the oceanic and the continental realms), and we suppose that the depth of this zone could be ~ 10 –50 times greater than its thickness. This depth to thickness ratio explains why this layer is very hard to detect, even given its enormous viscosity contrast.

Since we are not addressing thin, shallow low-viscosity earth layers, which could have moderate to large depth to thickness ratios, the undetectability of the low viscosity should rather depend on the ratio of the depth of the channel to its vertical thickness. If the channel is thin and deep, as we suppose, it will be extremely hard to detect.

Small, isolated loads are extremely difficult to model in practice since they are strongly sensitive to shallow elastic structure, the lithospheric thickness, the presence of faults, etc. In contrast, the global net rotation of say few cm/yr could be accommodated by a channel with a vertical velocity gradient of just v/d . The (R/d) scale factor does not appear. Thin channels can accommodate horizontal shearing much more easily than they can accommodate vertical motion of the lithosphere over regions much larger than the channel depth. In other words, postglacial rebound will not be sensitive to thin, very low viscosity channels, which can contribute significantly to net rotation of the lithosphere.

MANTLE CONVECTION AND CORE GROWTH

Before we discuss our model of the asthenospheric origin of the westward drift, let us point out an underestimated phenomenon, i.e., the

downwelling of denser material toward the deepest parts of the planet. This process tends to decrease the moment of inertia and to increase Earth's spin. In fact, for rotating bodies, if the mass is accumulating closer to the rotation's axis, they must spin faster in order to conserve the angular momentum.

Variations in Earth's rotational speed (Munk and McDonald, 1960; Lambeck, 1980) arise from (1) an external torque associated with tidal friction, and (2) internal variations in Earth's moment of inertia or in the angular momentum of the core (Munk and Davies, 1964). The observations we have for evaluating these two effects are the variation in the length of the day, which, based on space geodesy data, is presently decreasing at the rate of $\sim 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ s (Varga et al., 1998), and the recession of the Moon. The increase of the length of the day, based on stromatoliths and tidal deposits, indicates that the despinning of Earth is accelerating through time (Denis et al., 2002). Lunar laser range measurements (Dickey et al., 1994) indicate that the Moon is receding from Earth at a rate of 38.2 mm/yr (± 0.7). This value has been related to the lunar tidal torque alone, and it has been connected to the despinning of Earth.

Since the early recognition of mantle convection, it has been proposed that downgoing currents would tend to leave some of their denser constituents at the base of the mantle, while less-dense components rose to form the crust (Runcorn, 1962a, 1962b). Subsequent seismological and petrophysical discoveries refined this idea (Lay et al., 1998; Anderson, 2002). Similar mass redistribution has been recognized in the core and has been related to the nucleation (Jacobs, 1953) and growth (Buffett et al., 1992) of the inner core. There are several indications that the inner core is less than 2.5 Ga old, with a preferred age of 1 ± 0.5 Ga (Labrosse et al., 2001; Labrosse and Macouin, 2003).

Evidence for deep accumulation of denser material in the mantle could be provided by the existence of the 150–300-km-thick D' region at the core-mantle boundary (Lay et al., 1998), characterized by 1.5–3% velocity discontinuities for both P and S waves and by shear wave anisotropy. Segregation of dense material from the overlying mantle in the D' region can occur by the descent of liquids or solids. Irreversible accumulation of denser material at the base of the mantle is predicted by convection, which tends to increase density mantle stratification (Anderson, 2000, 2002). Irreversible mass redistribution within the core is controlled primarily by inner-core growth, which has been calculated to occur at rates between 0.2 mm/yr and 0.7 mm/yr (Morse, 2002). Besides accumulating heavier elements in the inner core, this process disturbs

the chemical equilibrium between outer core and mantle (Buffett et al., 1992, 2000). This is due to the segregation into the liquid outer core of FeSi and FeO, the concentrations of which increase above the equilibrium concentration with respect to the mantle. As a consequence, excess FeSi and FeO of the liquid core could be removed by formation of silicate perovskite. This buoyant phase is proposed to accumulate at the bottom of the mantle, producing the ultralow-velocity zones at the core-mantle boundary (Buffett et al., 2000).

Therefore, irreversible accumulation of heavier elements in the bottom of the mantle and the inner-core growth should generate the effect of the rotating ice skater, who spins faster when the arms are drawn in, decreasing the moment of inertia.

A DISCUSSION OF JORDAN'S MODEL

As we have shown in the previous sections, there are several bodies of geological evidence for westward drift of the lithosphere. Should differential rotation between the lithosphere and the mantle have originated by a process that operated in the distant past, it would not persist. The difference between the angular velocity of the lithosphere ω_1 and the angular velocity of the mantle ω_2 cannot be inherited from their initial different values. The time needed to cancel the transient of a differential rotation of these two bodies is extremely short (e.g., Ranalli, 2000). Therefore, we must identify an ongoing process to explain this westward drift. The original idea (Bostrom, 1971; Moore, 1973) to ascribe it to the tidal effects has been confuted by Jordan (1974). The argument is the following: assume that the system lithosphere-asthenosphere-mantle may be described by a rigid sphere (the mantle) inside a spherical shell (the lithosphere) separated by a viscous fluid (the asthenosphere). Suppose that a torque, due to tidal effects, is applied on the lithosphere. The actual value of the torque is measured by means of the enlargement of the Moon's orbit. The fluid is assumed to be homogeneous and is described by the linearized Navier-Stokes equation in spherical geometry. In this way, the viscosity η of the asthenosphere is estimated to be around 10^{11} Pa s, way too low. Jordan's argument is simple and appealing, but it could be unsatisfactory for two reasons.

The first reason is the fact that the growth of the Moon's orbital radius is not a good way to measure indirectly the tidal torque. Even in the two-body approximation, the angular momentum of a body moving along a Keplerian orbit is given by

$$L = C\sqrt{a(1-e^2)}, \quad (2)$$

where C is a constant proportional to the square root of the sum of the masses of the two bodies, a is the major semi-axis of the orbit, and e is its eccentricity.

Hence, the variation of angular momentum has to be computed taking into account also the variation of the eccentricity. On the other side, it is well known (e.g., Zahn, 1966) that the tidal drag tends to circularize the orbits, producing a decrease of eccentricity that tends to increase L . The value of the torque measured by Jordan using only the mean radius of the Moon's orbit is therefore unreliable. Moreover one has to take into account the fact that the system Earth-Moon is not isolated: the effect of the Sun should be taken into account. However, it is easy to see that we do not have any possibility to measure directly the secular variations of the Earth-Sun orbit with a sufficient degree of approximation, and therefore we cannot measure directly the transfer of angular momentum of Earth to the Earth-Sun system. Wang (1975) also criticized the Jordan (1974) model, claiming how in a non-Newtonian system the tidal drag is effective in moving plates.

Finally, once it is understood that the measure given by Jordan of the tidal torque might be underestimated, one has to face the further problem mentioned in the previous chapters. The secular variations of Earth's moment of inertia may increase the westward drift, because the tidal drag might be partially compensated by the tendency of Earth to increase its angular velocity due to the fact that its moment of inertia is slowly decreasing.

The second reason Jordan's argument is not convincing is the fact that it is based on the assumption that the asthenosphere is a Navier-Stokes fluid of high viscosity, and that this viscosity can be inferred by modeling postglacial rebound. These assumptions are most likely oversimplified, as discussed in the previous sections, since the mantle very likely has a nonlinear rheology, and the viscosity of the asthenosphere can be far lower in thin, undetected layers.

If we proceed as did Jordan, and we assume 100 km for the thickness of the intervening asthenosphere atop of the mantle, and we furthermore take into account the effect of Earth's moment of inertia decrease due to permanent downwelling of heavier material in the lower mantle and in the outer core, we obtain $\eta \sim 10^{12}$ Pa s, which is slightly larger than Jordan's estimate, but still far from the estimates based on the postglacial rebound, or, more recently, on the estimates for the internal 50–100-km-thick layer of a hydrated asthenosphere, as shown in Figure 3. In conclusion, the tidal torque provides an energetic mechanism to drive the lithosphere,

but it requires a decoupling between the lithosphere and the underlying mantle that is incompatible with the present knowledge of asthenospheric viscosity and mathematical models for Navier-Stokes laminar flow as suggested by Jordan (1974).

However, assuming that the tidal torque acts in an appreciable way on the lithosphere, it is very reasonable that the resulting movement of the plates on the mantle has also a discrete component, due to the sudden breaking of solid bonds between lithosphere and mantle, occurring in the above-mentioned, less-rigid layers. A friction of this kind can be assumed to be similar to the friction due to a fluid interspace only on long time scales.

As already discussed, the internal inhomogeneity of the asthenosphere at small scale is almost undetectable with the current measurements, but it could play a rather crucial role. In the next section, we present a simple model of this effect.

A MODEL FOR LITHOSPHERE-ASTHENOSPHERE DECOUPLING

Let us discuss a natural hypothesis that could help to find a more realistic relation between β , the dissipative interaction of the friction between lithosphere and mantle, and the measurements of the viscosity of the asthenosphere. Assuming that the tidal torque acts in a sensible way on the lithosphere, it is possible that the resulting movement of the plates on the mantle is continuous only when it is measured on a long time scale.

On a short time scale, the movement has a discrete component, due to the sudden breaking of solid constraints between lithosphere and mantle, occurring in the less-rigid layer (the asthenosphere). We present, therefore, a very simplified model to describe the movement of a solid plate over a solid background due to the breaking of a set of constraints between the two, which has resulted from the continuous action of a constant force.

We suppose that a solid plate and a solid background are constrained by the presence of N constraints, which after a certain (average) time suddenly break. The time necessary to break each constraint is an exponential random variable with an average proportional to the strength of the force applied to it, which, in its turn, depends on the number of constraints still active. When, at the end, all the constraints are broken, the plate moves, and it stops after a small displacement, due to the fact that in its new position, a new set of constraints is formed. The velocity of the plate, computed on a long time scale, is therefore inversely proportional to the time needed to break all the N constraints.

In what follows, we shall say that the i th constraint is the one that leaves, and when it breaks, $i - 1$ constraints remain intact. Moreover, we will assume that the weakest constraints are the first ones to break, so that the constraint N is the weakest and the constraint 1 is the strongest. By the theory of the Poisson processes, it is easy to see that this is an upper bound of the actual time needed to break all constraints.

Finally, we will assume that the force applied to the i th constraint when it breaks is $1/i$, the total force, and that the force applied to the constraint and the breaking time is inversely proportional. Then we can write that

$$t_i = \bar{\tau}_i \times i, \quad (3)$$

where t_i is the time needed to break the i th constraint, and $\bar{\tau}_i$ is the time that would be needed to break the i th constraint if the whole force would be applied on it.

The above-mentioned fact that the weakest constraint breaks first implies that

$$\bar{\tau}_i \geq \bar{\tau}_j, \text{ if } i < j. \quad (4)$$

We start with a homogeneous set of constraints, hence with the choice $\bar{\tau}_i = \bar{\tau}$ for all i , where τ is the time of rupture of the single constraint if the whole force would be applied on it. We assume that the resulting time $T^{(hom)}$ needed to break all the N constraints is the time that one should associate to a homogeneous asthenosphere, hence to an asthenosphere reasonably described by the Navier-Stokes equation.

By equation 3 the time $T^{(hom)}$ is simply

$$T^{(hom)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\bar{\tau} \times i}{i} = \bar{\tau}N, \quad (5)$$

where the factor i^{-1} in the right-hand side is there because there are i constraints that can be broken when the system is in the state with i intact constraints. This time is inversely proportional to the resulting velocity, and therefore is directly proportional to the average viscosity of the interspace.

Assume now an inhomogeneity in the viscous film between the lithosphere and the underlying mantle such that there is a certain amount of “strong” constraints, which are the ones due to the solid part of the asthenosphere, that have the same characteristic time

$$\bar{\tau}_i^{(s)} = \bar{\tau}, \quad (6)$$

of the homogeneous case, and are in fact the constraints responsible for the computations of the viscosity of the asthenosphere due to

the response of it to a compression (postglacial rebound). Assume that the rest of the constraints are relative to the melt fraction of the asthenosphere and are therefore much weaker, i.e.,

$$\bar{\tau}_i^{(w)} = \bar{\tau}^{(w)} \ll \bar{\tau}. \quad (7)$$

Even if the melt fraction of the asthenosphere is only a few percent, the shear localization of the melting (see Holtzman et al., 2003) and the fact that the small solid lithons (elongated rock elements) between the melt bands have a shape such that the friction is minimized, suggest that shearing is likely to be localized in the liquid phase, with lithons remaining relatively undeformed. For this reason, we assume that the fraction of solid constraints $N^{(s)}$ is much smaller than the fraction $N^{(w)}$ of weak ones. Hence the total breaking time in the inhomogeneous case is

$$T^{(inhom)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(s)}} \bar{\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(w)}} \bar{\tau}^{(w)}, \quad (8)$$

and this quantity can be much smaller than $T^{(hom)}$.

Therefore we have strong indications that the actual friction coefficient between lithosphere and mantle could be much smaller than the one computed by the Navier-Stokes homogeneous approximation. The effective viscosity of a layer vertically loaded should be much larger than the effective viscosity of the same layer subject to a shear parallel to the layer (Fig. 4).

This would lead to an average viscosity of the same order of magnitude of the one observed in the thin molten layers of the asthenosphere.

Other effects may imply that the observed friction is smaller than the one computed by the Navier-Stokes equation. In rocks, the finer grain size strongly lowers the mantle viscosity (Solomatov, 2001), and grain size decreases along decoupling surfaces. Even more, decoupling in the asthenosphere should determine shear heating, which also decreases viscosity. In other words, the relative motion between lithosphere and underlying mantle could be seen as a self-sustaining process, once activated.

Moreover, we are neglecting in this discussion another important effect, namely the solid Earth tides (e.g., Ray et al., 2001). Even if the dissipated energy of the solid tides does not quantitatively change the arguments above, the continuous stretching of the bonds due to the rapid semidiurnal few tens of cm up and down movement of the lithosphere under the effect of solid tides may cause a mechanical fatigue of the bonds, contributing to a further decrease of their characteristic time (Fig. 5).

Moreover, the upper mantle, and the asthenosphere in particular, have the highest Rayleigh numbers, i.e., they are the parts of the mantle more vigorously convecting because they have the lowest viscosities and the highest thermal gradients of the whole mantle section. Although it is difficult to predict the velocity and direction of flow of the particles in the asthenosphere, we infer that upper-mantle convection can be efficient and chaotic also for the lateral heterogeneities of the lithosphere base and within the asthenosphere itself. This could trigger further edge-driven convection (King and Anderson, 1998), which decreases the strength of the




Figure 5. Earth’s outer shells undergo a pulsating semidiurnal oscillation of a few tens of centimeters due to solid Earth tides. This could result in mechanical fatigue acting on the asthenosphere, allowing the westerly trending decoupling of the lithosphere.

asthenosphere even more. The presence of convective flow in the mantle or even in the asthenosphere implies that the result when laminar flow is assumed is not realistic.

The new arising question is: can the presence of convective cells drastically change the torque applied by the lithosphere to the mantle? In other words: can the presence of convective cells change the effective friction between lithosphere and mantle? Moreover, can the presence of the torque modify the shape and the stability properties of the convective cells (Bostrom, 2000)? The answer is probably affirmative, but there are no results in literature about this difficult subject.

The enhanced decoupling between lithosphere and mantle might explain why the shape of the plates is different from the shape obtained assuming a simple Bénard convection or other types of convection in the mantle, and why plate margins migrate relative to each other and relative to the mantle, whereas mantle convection models depict stable areas of uprising or downwelling mantle.

Moreover, variations in strength, or viscosity with deformation indicate that the first ingredient of a plate-like flow is that the convecting fluids have non-Newtonian rheology, which means that viscosity decreases with increased deformation rate, or strain rate, which is a self-supporting process (Bercovici, 2003). Analysis of the deformation in fluids has shown how the decoupling may concentrate in a thinner surface within the originally homogeneous medium. The strain rate is highly concentrated in bandings where the viscosity is drastically nonlinearly decreased near one of the planes bordering the flow (e.g., Salmon et al., 2003; Varnik et al., 2003).

Finally, the tidal fluxes and refluxes due to the presence of continents have the effects of invert their action every six hours, with a small westward drift component. This rapidly varying force could have the further effect to decrease the rigidity of the asthenosphere, and hence the strength of the bonds in the model presented in this section, further decreasing the effective friction. It is noteworthy that the larger the ocean, the faster the spreading rate at the oceanic ridge, suggesting a possible correlation of larger tidal dissipation in larger oceans.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The essential points of this paper are: (1) there is an observed net westward drift of the lithosphere with respect to the hotspot reference frame of 50–90 mm/year; (2) tidal torque provides a sufficiently energetic mechanism to drive this motion, but requires a mechanical

decoupling between the lithosphere and the deeper mantle that is incompatible with current understanding of upper-mantle viscosity and mathematical models for Navier-Stokes laminar flow; (3) a thin, low-viscosity layer (shear zone) could accommodate this motion; and (4) such a layer might not be detectable using classical analysis of postglacial rebound.

Mei et al. (2002) recently estimated viscosity of the asthenosphere lower than 10^{16} Pa s in thin intra-asthenospheric layers. These ultralow-viscosity layers could enable decoupling of the lithosphere relative to the mantle induced by rotational drag. The contribution of Earth's rotation to the relative westward motion of the lithosphere could account for the inadequate kinematics of mantle convection on plate tectonics (e.g., Anderson, 1999), and it would provide an explanation of where most of the large amount of energy related to rotation is dissipated and of the balance of forces that are controlling the length of the day (e.g., Lambeck, 1980; Varga et al., 1998; Krasinsky, 1999; Denis et al., 2002). The net rotation of the lithosphere associated with lateral variations of the viscosity-controlled coupling between lithosphere and underlying mantle can determine variable relative velocities between plates, i.e., extension or convergence, or in other words, plate tectonics. According to this model, shear zones within the asthenosphere should be detected. Recently, based on migrated stacked seismic receiver functions, Zandt et al. (2004) interpreted the occurrence of low-velocity shear zones in the upper mantle underneath the Sierra Nevada. In this view, plate tectonics would occur with the combination of a rotating planet under tidal torque, efficient internal convection, and lateral viscosity variations at the lithosphere-mantle interface where thin hydrate layers with very low viscosity are supposed to occur, far lower than the average estimates predicted by postglacial rebound. The permanent, although low, tidal drag and the fatigue could determine the "westward" drift of the lithosphere relative to the mantle (Fig. 1).

We do not have a final positive answer to the title question, i.e., if the westward drift IS related to Earth's rotation. However, we consider this likely and worthy of further investigation. It cannot be neglected on the basis of the results of oversimplified mechanical and rheological models.

As an application, this model could explain why, unlike Earth, satellites where stronger gravitational tides operate (e.g., the Moon, the four largest of Jupiter's satellites, Io, Europa, Ganymede, Callisto) and internal convection is low or absent have moved to the orbital resonance condition (Boccaletti and Pucacco, 2002),

or tidal locking, where the time of rotation equals the time of revolution around the main planet, and plate tectonics do not occur. On the other hand, moonless planets do not show plate tectonics similar to Earth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Discussions with D. Anderson, E. Bonatti, R. Bostrom, M. Caputo, M. Crespi, M. Cuffaro, G. Gallavotti, R. Gordon, F. Innocenti, F. Mongelli, G. Palmieri, F. Riguzzi, G. Ruocco, Z. Sharp, and P. Varga were very fruitful. Critical readings by B. Bills, G. Bokelmann, R.W. Dickinson, D. Eaton, and B. Vermeersen were very much appreciated. Research was supported by Italian Space Agency (ASI) and University La Sapienza.

REFERENCES CITED

- Anderson, D.L., 1989, *Theory of the Earth*: Oxford, Blackwell, 366 p.
- Anderson, D.L., 1999, A theory of the Earth: Hutton and Humpty-Dumpty and Holmes, in Craig, G.Y., and Hull, J.H., eds., *James Hutton—Present and future*: Geological Society [London] Special Publication 150, p. 13–35.
- Anderson, D.L., 2000, Thermal state of the upper mantle; no role for mantle plumes: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 27, no. 22, p. 3623–3626, doi: 10.1029/2000GL011533.
- Anderson, D.L., 2001, Topside tectonics: *Science*, v. 293, p. 2016–2018, doi: 10.1126/science.1065448.
- Anderson, D.L., 2002, The case for irreversible chemical stratification of the mantle: *International Geology Review*, v. 44, p. 97–116.
- Bai, Q., Mackwell, S.J., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 1991, High-temperature creep of olivine single crystals. 1, Mechanical results for buffered samples: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 96, p. 2441–2463.
- Bercovici, D., 2003, The generation of plate tectonics from mantle convection: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 205, p. 107–121, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(02)01009-9.
- Boccaletti, D., and Pucacco, G., 2002, *Theory of orbits: Perturbative and geometrical methods*, Volume 2: Berlin, Springer Verlag, p. 278–286.
- Bokelmann, G.H.R., 2002, Which forces drive North America?: *Geology*, v. 30, p. 1027–1030, doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<1027:WFDNA>2.0.CO;2.
- Bokelmann, G.H.R., and Silver, P.G., 2000, Mantle variation within the Canadian Shield: Travel times from the portable broadband Archean-Proterozoic transect 1989: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 105, p. 579–605, doi: 10.1029/1999JB900387.
- Bonatti, E., 1990, Not so hot "hot spots" in the oceanic mantle: *Science*, v. 250, p. 107–111.
- Bonatti, E., 1996, Long-lived oceanic transform boundaries formed above mantle thermal minima: *Geology*, v. 24, p. 803–806, doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0803:LLOTBF>2.3.CO;2.
- Bostrom, R.C., 1971, Westward displacement of the lithosphere: *Nature*, v. 234, p. 536–538.
- Bostrom, R.C., 2000, *Tectonic consequences of the Earth's rotation*: Oxford, Oxford University Press, 266 p.
- Buffett, B.A., Huppert, H.E., Lister, J.R., and Woods, A.W., 1992, Analytical model for solidification of the Earth's core: *Nature*, v. 356, p. 329–331, doi: 10.1038/356329a0.
- Buffett, B.A., Garnero, E.J., and Jeanloz, R., 2000, Sediments at the top of the Earth's core: *Science*, v. 290, p. 1338–1342, doi: 10.1126/science.290.5495.1338.
- Caputo, M., 1986a, The Pofflucht kraft revisited: *Bollettino Geofisica Teorica Applicata XXVIII*, v. 111–112, p. 199–214.
- Caputo, M., 1986b, Linear and nonlinear inverse rheologies of rocks: *Tectonophysics*, v. 122, p. 53–71, doi: 10.1016/0040-1951(86)90158-7.

- Cathles, L.M., 1975, The viscosity of the Earth's mantle: Princeton, Princeton University Press, 386 p.
- Conrad, C.P., and Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., 2002, How mantle slabs drive plate tectonics: *Science*, v. 298, p. 207–209, doi: 10.1126/science.1074161.
- Debayle, E., Kennett, B., and Priestley, K., 2005, Global azimuthal seismic anisotropy and the unique plate-motion deformation of Australia: *Nature*, v. 433, p. 509–512, doi: 10.1038/nature03247.
- DeMets, C., Gordon, R.G., Argus, F., and Stein, S., 1990, Current plate motions: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 101, p. 425–478.
- Denis, C., Schreider, A.A., Varga, P., and Zavoti, J., 2002, Despinning of the Earth rotation in the geological past and geomagnetic paleointensities: *Journal of Geodynamics*, v. 34, p. 667–685, doi: 10.1016/S0264-3707(02)00049-2.
- Dickey, J.O., Bender, P.L., Faller, J.E., Newhall, X.X., Ricklefs, R.L., Ries, J.G., Shelus, P.J., Veillet, C., Whipple, A.L., Wiart, J.R., Williams, J.G., and Yoder, C.F., 1994, Lunar laser ranging: A continuing legacy of the Apollo program: *Science*, v. 265, p. 482–490.
- Dickinson, W.R., 1978, Plate tectonic evolution of North Pacific Rim: *Journal of Physical Earth*, v. 26, Supplement, p. S1–S19.
- Di Donato, G., Mitrova, J.X., Sabadini, R., and Vermeersen, L.L.A., 2000, The influence of a ductile crustal zone on glacial isostatic adjustment: Geodetic observables along the U.S. East Coast: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 27, p. 3017–3020, doi: 10.1029/2000GL011390.
- Dijkstra, A.H., Drury, M.R., and Frijhoff, R.M., 2002, Microstructures and lattice fabrics in the Hilti mantle section (Oman Ophiolite): Evidence for shear localization and melt weakening in the crust-mantle transition zone: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 107, doi: 10.1029/2001JB000458.
- Dogliani, C., 1993, Geological evidence for a global tectonic polarity: *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, v. 150, p. 991–1002.
- Dogliani, C., Harabaglia, P., Merlini, S., Mongelli, F., Peccerillo, A., and Piromallo, C., 1999, Orogens and slabs vs. their direction of subduction: *Earth-Science Reviews*, v. 45, p. 167–208, doi: 10.1016/S0012-8252(98)00045-2.
- Dogliani, C., Carminati, E., and Bonatti, E., 2003, Rift asymmetry and continental uplift: *Tectonics*, v. 22, p. 1024, doi: 10.1029/2002TC001459.
- Dogliani, C., Green, D., and Mongelli, F., 2005, On the shallow origin of hotspots and the westward drift of the lithosphere, in Foulger, G.R., Natland, J.H., Presnall, D.C., and Anderson, D.L., eds., *Plates, plumes and paradigms*: Geological Society of America Special Paper 388, p. 735–749.
- Eotvos, L., 1913, Verhandlungen der 17: Allgemeinen Konferenz der Internationalen Erdmessung, Part, v. 1, 111 p.
- Fjeldskaar, W., 1994, Viscosity and thickness of the asthenosphere detected from the Fennoscandian uplift: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 126, p. 399–410, doi: 10.1016/0012-821X(94)90120-1.
- Foulger, G.R., Jahn, C.H., Seeber, G., Einarsson, P., Julian, B.R., and Heki, K., 1992, Post-rifting stress relaxation at divergent plate boundary in northeast Iceland: *Nature*, v. 358, p. 488–490, doi: 10.1038/358488a0.
- Frepoli, A., Selvaggi, G., Chiarabba, C., and Amato, A., 1996, State of stress in the southern Tyrrhenian subduction zone from fault-plane solutions: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 125, p. 879–891.
- Gasperini, M., 1993, Global forces on the lithosphere: *Journal of Geodynamics*, v. 17, no. 3, p. 121–132.
- Giunchi, C., Spada, G., and Sabadini, R., 1997, Lateral viscosity variations and post-glacial rebound: Effects on present-day VLBI baseline deformations: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 24, p. 13–16, doi: 10.1029/96GL03773.
- Gordon, R.G., 1995, Present plate motions and plate boundaries, in Arhens, T.J., ed., *Global Earth physics, Reference Shelf 1*: Washington, D.C., American Geophysical Union, p. 66–87.
- Green, D.H., and Falloon, T.J., 1998, Pyrolyte: A Ringwood concept and its current expression, in Jackson, I.N.S., ed., *The Earth's mantle: composition, structure, and evolution*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, p. 311–380.
- Green, D.H., Falloon, T.J., Eggins, S.M., and Yaxley, G.M., 2001, Primary magmas and mantle temperatures: *European Journal of Mineralogy*, v. 13, p. 437–451, doi: 10.1127/0935-1221/2001/0013-0437.
- Gripp, A.E., and Gordon, R.G., 2002, Young tracks of hotspots and current plate velocities: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 150, p. 321–361, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.2002.01627.x.
- Gung, Y., Panning, M., and Romanowicz, B., 2003, Global anisotropy and the thickness of continents: *Nature*, v. 422, p. 707–711, doi: 10.1038/nature01559.
- Heflin, M., et al., 2004, <http://sideshow.jpl.nasa.gov/mbh/series.html> (accessed 30 August 2005).
- Hirth, G., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 1995a, Experimental constraints on the dynamics of the partially molten upper mantle: Deformation in the diffusion creep regime: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 100, p. 1981–2001, doi: 10.1029/94JB02128.
- Hirth, G., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 1995b, Experimental constraints on the dynamics of the partially molten upper mantle. 2. Deformation in the dislocation creep regime: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 100, p. 15,441–15,449, doi: 10.1029/95JB01292.
- Hirth, G., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 1996, Water in the oceanic upper mantle: Implications for rheology, melt extraction and the evolution of the lithosphere: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 144, p. 93–108, doi: 10.1016/0012-821X(96)00154-9.
- Holtzman, B.K., Groebner, N.J., Zimmerman, M.E., Ginsberg, S.B., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 2003, Stress-driven melt segregation in partially molten rocks: *Geochemistry, Geophysics, and Geosystems*, v. 4, no. 8607, doi: 10.1029/2001GC000258.
- Isacks, B., and Molnar, P., 1971, Distribution of stresses in the descending lithosphere from a global survey of focal-mechanism solutions of mantle earthquakes: *Reviews of Geophysics*, v. 9, p. 103–174.
- Jacobs, J.A., 1953, The Earth's inner core: *Nature*, v. 172, p. 297–298.
- Jeffreys, H., 1975, *The Earth*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, p. 1–420.
- Jordan, T.H., 1974, Some comments on tidal drag as a mechanism for driving plate motions: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 79, no. 14, p. 2141–2142.
- Kaufmann, G., and Lambeck, K., 2000, Mantle dynamics, postglacial rebound and the radial viscosity profile: *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, v. 121, p. 301–324.
- Kelemen, P.B., and Dick, H.J., 1995, Focused melt flow and localized deformation in the upper mantle: juxtaposition of replacive dunite and ductile shear zones in the Josephine peridotite, SW Oregon: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 100, p. 423–438, doi: 10.1029/94JB02063.
- Kennedy, L.A., Russell, J.K., and Kopylova, M.G., 2002, Mantle shear zones revisited: The connection between the cratons and mantle dynamics: *Geology*, v. 30, p. 419–422.
- Kido, M., Yuen, D.A., Cadec, O., and Nakakuki, T., 1998, Mantle viscosity derived by genetic algorithm using oceanic geoid and seismic tomography for whole-mantle versus blocked-flow situations: *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, v. 107, p. 307–326, doi: 10.1016/S0031-9201(98)00077-6.
- King, S.D., and Anderson, D.L., 1998, Edge-driven convection: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 160, p. 289–296, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(98)00089-2.
- Knopoff, L., and Leeds, A., 1972, Lithospheric momenta and the deceleration of the Earth: *Nature*, v. 237, no. 12, p. 93–95.
- Kornig, H., and Muller, G., 1989, Rheological model and interpretation of postglacial uplift: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 98, p. 243–253.
- Krasinsky, G.A., 1999, Tidal effects in the Earth-Moon system and the Earth's rotation, in *Celestial mechanics and dynamical astronomy*: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Press, v. 75, p. 39–66.
- Labrosse, S., and Macouin, M., 2003, The inner core and the geodynamo: *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, v. 335, p. 37–50.
- Labrosse, S., Poirier, J.-P., and Le Mouél, J.-L., 2001, The age of the inner core: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 190, p. 111–123, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00387-9.
- Lambeck, K., 1980, The Earth's variable rotation, in Batchelor, G.K., and Miles, J.W., eds., *Geophysical causes and consequences*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 449 p.
- Lay, T., Williams, Q., and Garnero, E.J., 1998, The core-mantle boundary layer and deep Earth dynamics: *Nature*, v. 392, p. 461–468, doi: 10.1038/33083.
- Le Pichon, X., 1968, Sea-floor spreading and continental drift: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 73, no. 12, p. 3661–3697.
- Mainprice, D., and Silver, P.G., 1993, Interpretation of SKS-waves using samples from the subcontinental lithosphere: *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, v. 78, p. 257–280.
- Marotta, A., and Mongelli, F., 1998, Flexure of subducted slabs: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 132, p. 701–711, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00489.x.
- Mei, S., Bai, W., Hiraga, T., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 2002, Influence of melt on the creep behavior of olivine-basalt aggregates under hydrous conditions: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 201, p. 491–507, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00745-8.
- Molnar, P., and Stock, P., 1987, Relative motions of hotspots in the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans since Late Cretaceous time: *Nature*, v. 327, p. 587–591, doi: 10.1038/327587a0.
- Moore, G.W., 1973, Westward tidal lag as the driving force of plate tectonics: *Geology*, v. 1, p. 99–100, doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(1973)1<99:WTLATD>2.0.CO;2.
- Morse, S.A., 2002, No mushy zones in the Earth's core: *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, v. 66, p. 2155–2165, doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(02)00843-8.
- Munk, W.H., and Davies, D., 1964, The relationship between core accretion and the rotation rate of the Earth, in Craig, H., Miller, S.L., and Wasserburg, G.J., eds., *Isotopic and cosmic chemistry*: Amsterdam, New Holland Publishing Co., p. 341–346.
- Munk, W.H., and McDonald, G.J.F., 1960, *The rotation of the Earth: A geophysical discussion*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 323 p.
- Negredo, A.M., Jiménez-Munt, I., and Villasen, A., 2004, Evidence for eastward mantle flow beneath the Caribbean plate from neotectonic modeling: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 31, p. L06615, doi: 10.1029/2003GL019315.
- Nelson, T.H., and Temple, P.G., 1972, Mainstream mantle convection: A geologic analysis of plate motion: *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, v. 56, p. 226–246.
- Norton, I.O., 2000, Global hot spot reference frames and plate motion, in Richards, M.A., Gordon, R.G., and Van der Hilst, R.D., eds., *The history and dynamics of global plate motions*: American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph 121, p. 339–357.
- O'Connell, R., Gable, C.G., and Hager, B., 1991, Toroidal-poleoidal partitioning of lithospheric plate motions, in Sabadini, R., et al., eds., *Glacial isostasy, sea-level and mantle rheology*: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publisher, p. 334, p. 535–551.
- Piersanti, A., 1999, Postseismic deformation in Chile: Constraints on the asthenospheric viscosity: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 26, p. 3157–3160, doi: 10.1029/1999GL005375.
- Pollitz, F.F., Buergmann, R., and Romanowicz, B., 1998, Viscosity of oceanic asthenosphere inferred from remote triggering of earthquakes: *Science*, v. 280, p. 1245–1249, doi: 10.1126/science.280.5367.1245.
- Ranalli, G., 1995, *Rheology of the Earth*: London, Chapman and Hall, 413 p.
- Ranalli, G., 2000, Westward drift of the lithosphere: Not a result of rotational drag: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 141, p. 535–537, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.2000.00091.x.
- Ray, R.D., Eanes, R.J., and Lemoine, F.G., 2001, Constraints of energy dissipation in the Earth's body tide from satellite tracking and altimetry: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 144, p. 471–480, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.2001.00356.x.
- Ricard, Y., Dogliani, C., and Sabadini, R., 1991, Differential rotation between lithosphere and mantle: A consequence of lateral viscosity variations: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 96, p. 8407–8415.
- Rittmann, A., 1942, *Zur thermodynamik der orogenese*: Geologische Rundschau, v. 33, p. 485–498.

- Runcorn, S.K., 1962a, Towards a theory of continental drift: *Nature*, v. 193, p. 311–314.
- Runcorn, S.K., 1962b, Convection currents in the Earth's mantle: *Nature*, v. 195, p. 1248–1249.
- Russo, R.M., and Silver, P.G., 1996, Cordillera formation, mantle dynamics, and the Wilson cycle: *Geology*, v. 24, p. 511–514, doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0511:CFMDAT>2.3.CO;2.
- Salmon, J.-B., Manneville, S., and Colin, A., 2003, Shear banding in a lyotropic lamellar phase. I. Time-averaged velocity profiles: *Physical Review E*, v. 68, p. 051503, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.68.051503.
- Schubert, G., Turcotte, D.L., and Olson, P., 2001, *Mantle convection in the Earth and planets*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 940 p.
- Silver, P.G., and Holt, W.E., 2002, The mantle flow field beneath western North America: *Science*, v. 295, p. 1054–1057, doi: 10.1126/science.1066878.
- Solomatov, V.S., 2001, Grain size-dependent viscosity convection and the thermal evolution of the Earth: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 191, p. 203–212, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00426-5.
- Spada, G., Ricard, Y., and Sabadini, R., 1992, Excitation of true polar wander by subduction: *Nature*, v. 360, p. 452–454, doi: 10.1038/360452a0.
- Steinberger, B., 2002, Motion of the Easter hot spot relative to Hawaii and Louisville hot spots: *G³: Geochemistry, Geophysics, and Geosystems*, v. 11B, 8503, doi: 10.1029/2002GC000334.
- Suito, H., and Hirahara, K., 1999, Simulation of postseismic deformations caused by the 1896 Riku-u earthquake, northeast Japan: Re-evaluation of the viscosity in the upper mantle: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 26, p. 2561–2564, doi: 10.1029/1999GL900551.
- Tommasi, A., and Vauchez, A., 2001, Continental rifting parallel to ancient collisional belts: An effect of the mechanical anisotropy of the lithospheric mantle: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 185, p. 199–210, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00350-2.
- Tommasi, A., Tikoff, B., and Vauchez, A., 1999, Upper mantle tectonics: Three-dimensional deformation, olivine crystallographic fabrics and seismic properties: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 168, p. 173–186, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(99)00046-1.
- Turcotte, D.L., and Schubert, G., 1982, *Geodynamics*: New York, Wiley, 450 p.
- Uyeda, S., and Kanamori, H., 1979, Back-arc opening and the mode of subduction: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 84, no. B3, p. 1049–1061.
- van der Meijde, M., Marone, F., Giardini, D., and van der Lee, S., 2003, Seismic evidence for water deep in Earth's upper mantle: *Science*, v. 300, 5625, p. 1556–1558.
- van der Wal, W., Schotman, H.H.A., and Vermeersen, L.L.A., 2004, Geoid heights due to a crustal low viscosity zone in glacial isostatic adjustment modeling: A sensitivity analysis for GOCE: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 31, p. L05608, doi: 10.1029/2003GL019139.
- Varga, P., Denis, C., and Varga, T., 1998, Tidal friction and its consequences in paleogeodesy, in the gravity field variations and in tectonics: *Journal of Geodynamics*, v. 25, p. 61–84, doi: 10.1016/S0264-3707(97)00007-0.
- Varnik, F., Bocquet, L., Barrat, J.-L., and Berthier, L., 2003, Shear localization in a model glass: *Physical Review Letters*, v. 90, p. 095702, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.095702.
- Vermeersen, L.L.A., Sabadini, R., Devoti, R., Luceri, V., Rutigliano, P., Sciarretta, C., and Bianco, G., 1998, Mantle viscosity inferences from joint inversions of Pleistocene deglaciation-induced changes in geopotential with a new SLR analysis and polar wander: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 25, p. 4261–4264, doi: 10.1029/1998GL900150.
- Wang, C.G., 1975, Are continents adrift, or driven?: *New Asia College, Academic Annual*, v. XVII, p. 347–354.
- Wegener, A., 1915, *Die Entstehung der Kontinente und der Ozeane*: Samml: Vieweg, Braunschweig, v. 23, 94 p.
- Wolfe, C.J., and Solomon, S.C., 1998, Shear-wave splitting and implications for mantle flow beneath the MELT region of the East Pacific Rise: *Science*, v. 280, p. 1230–1232, doi: 10.1126/science.280.5367.1230.
- Zahn, J.P., 1966, Les marees dans une etoile double serree: *Annales d'Astrophysique*, v. 29, 489 p.
- Zandt, G., Gilbert, H., Owens, T.J., Ducea, M., Saleeby, J., and Jones, C.H., 2004, Active foundering of a continental arc root beneath the southern Sierra Nevada in California: *Nature*, v. 431, p. 41–46, doi: 10.1038/nature02847.
- Zimmerman, M.E., and Kohlstedt, D.L., 2004, Rheological properties of partially molten lherzolite: *Journal of Petrology*, v. 45, p. 275–298, doi: 10.1093/petrology/egg089.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED BY THE SOCIETY 12 JULY 2004

REVISED MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED 19 JUNE 2005

MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED 14 JULY 2005

Printed in the USA